

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
GREENVILLE DIVISION

United States of America)	Cr. No. 6:03-616-HMH
)	
vs.)	
)	OPINION & ORDER
Wister Patrick Gates,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

This matter is before the court on the Defendant's motion requesting a "sentence reduction form pursuant to U.S.S.G. Amendment 706." (Def. Mot. 1.) The court construes the Defendant's motion as seeking a determination of whether the Defendant is entitled to a reduction in his sentence pursuant to Amendment 706 to the United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G."), effective November 1, 2007, and deemed retroactive March 3, 2008. U.S.S.G. App. C. Amend. 706 (Nov. 1, 2007).

The court has reviewed the record in this case including the Defendant's Presentence Investigation Report. Based on this review, the court has determined that the Defendant is not eligible for a reduction in his sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(a) because he is a career offender. Therefore, the Defendant's pro se motion for a reduction in his sentence based upon Amendment 706 is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Henry M. Herlong, Jr.
Senior United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina
November 8, 2010

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Movant is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this order within ten (10) days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.